



Case studies

# Analysis of the main factors involved in the 4.0 industry implementation process

## *Análisis de los principales factores que intervienen en el proceso de implementación de la Industria 4.0*

Manuel Baro<sup>1</sup> , José Manuel Villegas Izaguirre<sup>2</sup>  Manuel Piña Monarrez<sup>1</sup> , Cinthia Judith Valdiviezo<sup>1</sup> 

<sup>1</sup>Universidad Autónoma de Ciudad Juárez, Manuel Díaz H. No. 518-B Zona Pronaf Condominio, 32315 Juárez, Chihuahua, México

<sup>2</sup>Facultad de Ciencias de la Ingeniería y Tecnología, Universidad Autónoma de Baja California, Blvd. Universitario 1000, Unidad Valle de Las Palmas, 22260 Tijuana, Baja California, México.

**Autor de correspondencia:** Manuel Baro, Universidad Autónoma de Ciudad Juárez, Manuel Díaz H. No. 518-B Zona Pronaf Condominio, 32315 Juárez, Chihuahua, México, Correo: [mbaro@itsncg.edu.mx](mailto:mbaro@itsncg.edu.mx), ORCID: 0000-0003-1665-8379.

**Received:** May 7, 2025

**Accepted:** September 7, 2025

**Published:** October 31, 2025

**Abstract.** - *Industry 4.0 is considered the 4th industrial revolution; this term refers to technology and digital technologies, such as Enterprise Resource Planning, the use of the internet in all kinds of devices, etc. This study analyses the significant factors driving the successful use of I4.0 in industries, focusing on technological, organizational, and human aspects. Technological enablers encompass automation, real-time data exchange, and smart manufacturing systems, while the organizational factors include digital transformation strategies, leadership commitment, and supply chain integration. Finally, human factors encompass workforce upskilling, change management, and employee adaptability. The study also examines the right use of I4.0 in factories, including cyber risks, high costs, and interoperability issues. A review of literature is undertaken, highlighting the critical role of these factors in optimizing productivity and efficiency in Revolution 4.0. The findings suggest that a holistic approach combining advanced technologies with strategic planning and workforce development is essential for successful adoption. This manuscript presents valuable ideas for industries seeking to transition into the fourth industrial revolution and provides recommendations for overcoming barriers and leveraging opportunities in the digital era.*

**Keywords:** 4th Industry; Transformation; IoT; Smart manufacturing; Mean factor; Cybersecurity.

**Resumen.** - *La Industria 4.0 se considera la 4ª revolución industrial; este término se refiere a la tecnología y las tecnologías digitales, como la planificación de recursos empresariales, el uso de Internet en todo tipo de dispositivos, etc. Este estudio analiza los factores significativos que impulsan el éxito del buen uso de la Industria 4.0 en las industrias, centrándose en los aspectos tecnológicos, organizativos y humanos. Los habilitadores tecnológicos abarcan la automatización, el intercambio de datos en tiempo real y los sistemas de fabricación inteligentes, mientras que los factores organizativos incluyen las estrategias de transformación digital, el compromiso del liderazgo y la integración de la cadena de suministro. Por último, los factores humanos abarcan la mejora de las cualificaciones de la mano de obra, la gestión del cambio y la adaptabilidad de los empleados. El estudio también examina el uso correcto de la Industria 4.0 en las fábricas, incluidos los riesgos cibernéticos, los elevados costes y los problemas de interoperabilidad. Se realiza una revisión de la literatura, destacando el papel crítico de estos factores en la optimización de la productividad y la eficiencia en la revolución 4.0. Las conclusiones sugieren que un enfoque holístico que combine las tecnologías avanzadas con la planificación estratégica y el desarrollo de la mano de obra es esencial para el éxito de la adopción. Este manuscrito presenta ideas valiosas para las industrias que aspiran a la transición a la industria 4.0 y ofrece recomendaciones para superar los obstáculos y aprovechar las oportunidades de la era digital.*

**Palabras clave:** Industria 4.0; Transformación; Internet de las cosas; Manufactura inteligente; Factor promedio; Ciberseguridad.



## 1. Introduction

The Background and Evolution of Industry 4.0  
 The industrial world has changed over the years, marked by distinct industrial revolutions that have redefined production processes and economic structures [1]. The contemporary era is characterised by the Fourth Industrial Revolution, also known as Industry 4.0, which is driven by the convergence of advanced digital, physical, and biological systems. In Germany, in 2011, the term Industry 4.0 was first used to denote the applications of process and operation. It employs a range of advanced technologies, including the Internet of Things (IoT), Artificial Intelligence (AI), Big Data, Robotics, Cyber-Physical Systems (CPS), and Cloud Computing, to facilitate smart, interconnected, and autonomous production environments. Industry 4.0 represents the union of all these technologies in all processes, resulting in the concept of smart factories, predictive maintenance, and decision making [2].

Implementing Industry 4.0 is crucial for industrial entities to sustain competitiveness in an era of accelerating digitalization and globalization. Key benefits include. Enhanced Efficiency and Productivity. This paradigm's automation and real-time data analytics optimise production processes, thereby reducing downtime and waste [3].

Customization and Flexibility: Smart manufacturing facilitates mass customization, thereby ensuring efficient meeting of diverse consumer demands [4]. Cost reduction. Predictive maintenance and energy-efficient systems contribute to reduced operational costs. Improved logistics. The Internet (IoT) and blockchain processes is a very significant role in this paradigm shift by facilitating the exchange of data and information seamlessly [5]. The concept of sustainability is of paramount importance in this context. The integration of

smart technologies has been demonstrated to contribute to a reduction in resource consumption and carbon footprints. However, the use of industry 4.0's multifaceted process necessitates the consideration of numerous factors, including technological, organisational, and human elements, to ensure its effective implementation [6]. The significant variables in the implementation of industry 4.0 are:

Technological factors. In the context of Industry 4.0, the Internet of Things facilitates machine-to-machine communication, remote monitoring, and predictive maintenance. Big data and analytics are essential in data processing to make better decisions, predict failures, optimize logistics, and enhance quality control. Machine learning algorithms facilitate adaptive manufacturing systems that learn from operational data [7]. Cyber-physical systems. The cyber system used processes digital control, integrates physical processes with digital controls, making possible autonomous operations. Examples include smart robots, digital twins, and automated guided vehicles (AGVs) [8]. Organizational Factors. Digital Transformation Strategy: Companies need to develop a clear roadmap for digital adoption, aligning technology with business goals [9]. Leadership and Management Commitment. Successful Industry 4.0 implementation is contingent on commitment from leadership and management. Investment in Infrastructure. The process of upgrading legacy systems to smart factories necessitates substantial capital investment in IoT, AI, and automation technologies.

Interoperability and Standardisation. Ensuring seamless communication between disparate systems (machines, software, and platforms) is of paramount importance. Standardised protocols (e.g., OPC UA) facilitate integration. Cybersecurity measures. With increased connectivity, industries face more cyber threats.



Robust security frameworks are needed to protect data [10]. Human factors. Workforce Upskilling and Reskilling. The advent of Industry 4.0 has rendered a new skill set imperative. Employees must be trained in data analytics, AI, robotics, and IoT to operate in smart factories [11]. Change Management: Resistance to digital transformation is common. Organisations must foster a culture of innovation and adaptability. Collaboration Between Humans and Machines. Collaborative robots work with humans, Productivity, and safety [12]. Adopting Industry 4.0 Despite the many values of Industry 4.0, there are many things to do before adopting it. Big Implementation Costs: The financial demands of the initial investment may prove challenging for SMEs. Data privacy increased connectivity raises cybersecurity threats. The absence of a skilled workforce with professionals specializing in AI, IoT, and data science is a significant impediment [13].

Finally, integration with legacy systems remains problematic, as many industries continue to rely on outdated machinery, which complicates the process of digital upgrades [14].

## 2. Methodology

Industry 4.0 signifies integrating advanced digital technologies to transform manufacturing and industrial processes. This study focuses on identifying and analyzing the primary factors driving Industry 4.0, including cyber-physical systems, the Internet of Things, artificial intelligence, big data analytics, cloud computing, additive manufacturing, and Augmented Reality/Virtual Reality (AR/VR). A mixed-method approach is employed in this study, combining qualitative and quantitative techniques to assess the impact of these technologies on industrial efficiency [15]. Research Design The study adopts an exploratory and descriptive Research design, examining key Industry 4.0 components.

The methodology is structured into three phases:

### 1. Qualitative Research

Literature Review: Analysis from peer-reviewed journals. Case studies: Examination of effective Industry 4.0 implementations in leading companies (e.g., Siemens, Tesla, Foxconn) [16]. Expert Interviews: These interviews provide insights from industry experts on the implementation challenges and opportunities of Industry 4.0.

### 2. Quantitative Research Survey Methodology:

A structured questionnaire was distributed to 150 professionals (engineers, managers, IT specialists) in smart manufacturing [17]. Data Analytics: Statistical evaluation of Industry 4.0 adoption rates and performance improvements. Mixed-Method Integration Triangulation: Cross-validation of qualitative and quantitative findings for robust conclusions. SWOT Analysis: Evaluation of strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats in Industry 4.0 implementation.

### 3. Data Collection Methods: Primary Data Collection

Surveys Target Respondents: Engineers, plant managers, IT specialists, and Industry 4.0 consultants.

The sample size will be professionals from the automotive, aerospace, electronics, and healthcare sectors.

Survey Instrument [18]. The survey instrument is a structured questionnaire with Likert-scale questions assessing [19]. Adoption levels of IoT, AI, and Big Data. Perceived benefits (e.g., efficiency, cost reduction, quality improvement. Challenges (cybersecurity, high costs, workforce readiness). Semi-Structured Interviews: Conducted with industry experts [20]. Key Focus Areas: Technological barriers in Industry 4.0.



Best practices for successful digital transformation. Future trends in smart manufacturing.

Field Observations Smart Factory Visits: The following three elements were observed on-site: - Internet of Things (IoT)-enabled production lines - Artificial intelligence (AI)-driven quality control - Robotic automation. Data Logging: Real-time monitoring of Industry 4.0 systems in operational environments [21].

Secondary Data Collection Academic Sources: Research papers from IEEE Xplore, ScienceDirect, Springer, and Scopus. Industry Reports: Publications from McKinsey, PwC, Deloitte, and the World Economic Forum [22]. Government and policy documents: The Chinese "Made in China 2025" strategy is furthermore included.

In addition, the guidelines established by the U.S. Smart Manufacturing Leadership Coalition (SMLC) should be taken into consideration. Sampling Techniques Stratified Sampling: This technique is employed to ensure representation from different industrial sectors [23]. Purposive Sampling: Selecting respondents with direct Industry 4.0 experience. Snowball sampling: Referrals from industry experts to identify additional participants.

Data Analysis Techniques. Qualitative Data Analysis: Thematic Analysis: The identification of recurring themes from interviews and case studies. Content Analysis: Systematic evaluation of literature and policy documents. Quantitative. The following data analysis utilizes descriptive statistics to address the following research question: The mean, median, and standard deviation for survey responses? Correlation Analysis: The relationship between Industry 4.0 adoption and operational efficiency. Regression analysis will be employed to examine the impact

of artificial intelligence (AI) and the Internet of Things (IoT) on production performance.

### **5.3 Software Tools for Analysis Statistical Tools: SPSS, R, and Python [24].**

Data Visualization: Tableau and Power BI [25].

In addition, the following software tools are utilized for AI and simulation: MATLAB, Simulink, and TensorFlow [26].

## **2. Key Factors for the Successful Implementation of Industry 4.0 in Businesses**

The transformation to Industry 4.0 represents a radical change in the way companies operate, produce and compete in the global marketplace. Its successful implementation depends on multiple interrelated factors that encompass technological, organizational, human and regulatory aspects [27]. The following are the main elements that industries must consider to adopt this paradigm effectively [28].

1. Technology Infrastructure and Advanced Connectivity The core of Industry 4.0 lies in the ability to connect machines, systems, and people through digital technologies. For this, it is essential to have a robust infrastructure that includes: IoT (Internet of Things) devices, intelligent sensors, and actuators that collect real-time data from production processes [29].

High Speed Communication Networks: Technologies such as 5G, Wi-Fi 6, and fiber optics enable fast, latency-free data transmission, essential for critical applications.

Edge Computing: Data processing close to the source to reduce dependence on the cloud and improve response speed.

2. Intelligent Automation and Advanced Robotics. Traditional automation is evolving



towards more flexible and adaptive systems thanks to:

Collaborative robots (Cobots): machines that work alongside humans, improving productivity and safety [30]. Cyber-Physical Systems (CPS): Integration of software and hardware to control physical processes autonomously [31]. Digital Twins: Virtual replicas of equipment and processes that allow simulating and optimizing operations before implementing them in the real world [32].

3. Big Data and Advanced Analytics. Industry 4.0 generates huge volumes of data that must be processed to extract value [33]. This requires: Data management platforms (such as Hadoop or Spark). Predictive and prescriptive analytics: Use of algorithms to anticipate failures and recommend actions [34]. Real-time dashboards: Visualization of KPIs for agile decision making [35].

4. Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning. AI allows machines to learn from data and make autonomous decisions, optimizing: Predictive maintenance [36]: Early detection of machinery failures [37]. Supply chain optimization: Intelligent inventory and logistics management. Mass customization: Production adaptable to specific customer demands [38].

5. Cloud Computing and Scalable Systems. The cloud provides flexibility and global access to data, facilitating [39]. Unlimited and secure storage and software-as-a-service deployment for industrial applications. Remote collaboration between multidisciplinary teams [40].

6. Industrial Cybersecurity. With increased connectivity, the risks of cyberattacks increase, requiring Firewalls and an intrusion detection system [41].

7. Interoperability and Open Standards. For different systems to work in harmony, protocols

such as: OPC UA (Open Platform Communications Unified Architecture) [42]. MQTT for machine-to-machine (M2M) communication [43]. Open APIs that allow integration between ERP, MES and SCADA [44].

8. Human Talent and Continuous Training. The adoption of new technologies requires: Upskilling and reskilling programs for workers [45]. Training in digital skills (programming, data analysis, AI management). Culture of continuous learning and adaptability [46].

9. Leadership and Organizational Culture. The success of Industry 4.0 depends on: Top management commitment to digital transformation [47]. Encouragement of innovation and tolerance for controlled failure [48]. Agile organizational structures that allow for rapid change [49].

10. Collaboration between Business, Government and Academia. Cooperation between sectors drives [50]: Public policies that encourage digitalization [51]. Alliances with universities to develop specialized talent.

11. Sustainability and Energy Efficiency. Industry 4.0 contributes to the circular economy by: Optimization of energy consumption with smart grids [52]. Reduction of waste through lean production. Use of recyclable materials and eco-efficient processes [53].

12. Financial Investment and Return on Investment. The transition requires significant resources, so companies must: Evaluate costs vs. benefits in the medium and long term [54]. Seek public-private financing. Start with scalable pilots before migrating the entire operation [55].

13. Legal and Regulatory Framework. Regulations must evolve to cover aspects such as: Industrial data protection. Standardization of



security protocols [56]. Legal liability in autonomous systems [55].

As can be seen, the implementation of Industry 4.0 is not a single process, but a strategic journey that requires planning, investment, and continuous adaptation [57]. Companies that manage to integrate these factors in a balanced way will be able to achieve higher levels of efficiency, competitiveness, and innovation, positioning themselves as leaders in the era of industrial digitalization [58]. However, the biggest challenge is not technological, but cultural: it involves a change of mindset at all organizational levels to embrace the transformation as an opportunity for sustainable growth [59].

### 3. Case Study

**3.1 Siemens' Digital Factory Methodology:** The utilization of the Internet of Things (IoT) for predictive maintenance purposes and the employment of AI for the detection of defects. Findings: The results of this study indicate that there has been a 30% productivity increase and a 20% reduction in machine downtime [60].

**3.2 Tesla's Gigafactories Methodology:** Robotics, AI-powered automation, real-time Big Data analytics. Findings: The production of high-speed electric vehicles is achieved with near-zero defects.

**3.3 Foxconn's Lights-Out Manufacturing (China) Methodology:** The production process is fully automated with no human intervention. Findings: A 50% cost reduction and 24/7 operational efficiency.

**Ethical Considerations** Informed Consent: The survey and interview participants were briefed on the research objectives [61]. Data Anonymity: Confidentiality was maintained for all respondents. Bias Mitigation: Triangulation of

data sources was employed to ensure the validity of the results [62]. The limitations of the study are as follows: Geographical constraints: The study is limited to companies in Europe, the USA, and Asia. The sample size was limited to the execution of more extensive surveys could enhance the generalizability of the findings [63]. Rapid Technological Changes: The industry is undergoing a transformation with the advent of Industry 4.0, necessitating continuous updates [57]. The methodology delineated herein provides a method to analyze the main factors of Industry 4.0, combining qualitative insights with quantitative data. The findings will assist industries in comprehending best practices, challenges, and future trends in smart manufacturing. Future research endeavors should explore the domains of standardization, cybersecurity, and workforce upskilling in Industry 4.0. [64].

### 4. Discussion

The efficacy of Industry 4.0 implementation is contingent upon several pivotal factors, including but not limited to advanced technological infrastructure, workforce upskilling, robust cybersecurity, organizational adaptability, and supportive government policies [65]. A robust technological foundation is imperative, encompassing the Internet of Things (IoT) for real-time machine communication [66], big data analytics for predictive maintenance and efficiency [67], cyber-physical systems (CPS) for autonomous operations [68], and cloud computing for scalable data storage and remote collaboration [69]. It is imperative to acknowledge the significance of workforce readiness in this context. This entails the cultivation of digital literacy in AI and robotics [70], the implementation of continuous learning programs to maintain congruence with the accelerating pace of innovation [71], [72], and the facilitation of training in human-machine collaboration [73]. Considering the increasing



interconnectedness, it is imperative to accord cybersecurity the highest priority by leveraging secure networks [60], adhering to stringent regulatory compliance standards (e.g., GDPR) [74], and employing AI-driven threat detection methodologies [23]. In addition, organizations must embrace change management, with leadership commitment driving digital transformation [24], agile ensuring adaptability and a cultural shift toward innovation [72]. Finally, government policies play a pivotal role, with financial incentives [73] and international standards fostering interoperability and security [60]. In summary, the successful adoption of Industry 4.0 necessitates a comprehensive strategy that integrates advanced technology, skilled labor, security measures, organizational flexibility, and policy support to ensure competitiveness in the digital industrial era [74].

## 5. Conclusion

Industry 4.0 signifies a radical transformation. The advent of sophisticated digital technologies has precipitated a paradigm shift in manufacturing and industrial processes. This study has examined the key factors that define Industry 4.0, including Cyber-Physical Systems, the Internet of Things, Artificial Intelligence, Big Data Analytics, Cloud Computing, Additive Manufacturing, and Augmented Reality/Virtual Reality. The synergy of these technologies fosters the emergence of intelligent factories. In the contemporary industrial landscape, there is an increasing convergence of machines, systems, and human operators working in real-time collaboration to achieve production optimization, cost reduction, and enhanced efficiency. The subsequent summary outlines the principal findings. The foundational technologies of Industry 4.0 are constituted by Cyber-Physical Systems (CPS) and the Internet of Things (IoT), which facilitate uninterrupted communication between machinery and centralized control systems. IoT sensors collect real-time data, while

CPS integrates physical processes with digital models (digital twins) for predictive maintenance and automation. The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning (ML) further enhances decision-making capabilities by analyzing extensive datasets to predict equipment failures, optimize supply chains, and improve product quality. The integration of AI-driven robotics further automates repetitive tasks, thereby enhancing precision and productivity. Big Data Analytics plays a crucial role in transforming raw industrial data into actionable insights. Leveraging tools such as Hadoop and Apache Spark enable manufacturers to detect inefficiencies, forecast demand, and reduce waste. Cloud Computing provides scalable storage and computing power, facilitating real-time collaboration across global supply chains. Cloud-based Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) systems facilitate seamless data sharing between departments, enhancing operational agility. Additive Manufacturing, otherwise referred to as 3D Printing, facilitates rapid prototyping, customized production, and reduced material wastage. Furthermore, industries such as aerospace and healthcare benefit from lightweight, complex components that are not possible to produce using traditional manufacturing methods.

## 6. Authorship acknowledgements

*Manuel R. Piña Monarrez*: Original draft; Conceptualization; Ideas; Writing. *Alberto Jesús Barraza Contreras*: Data analysis; Writing; Original draft; Review and editing. *Cynthia Judith Valdiviezo*: Conceptualization; Ideas; Methodology. *Manuel Baro Tijerina*: Conceptualization; Ideas; Methodology; Formal analysis; Research. *José Manuel Villegas Izaguirre*: Review and editing.



## References

- [1] A. M. R. Tortora, A. Maria, D. P. Valentina, R. Iannone, and C. Pianese, “A survey study on Industry 4.0 readiness level of Italian small and medium enterprises,” *Procedia Comput Sci*, vol. 180, pp. 744–753, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.procs.2021.01.321.
- [2] M. Tschandl, C. Fischer, and E. Chapotot, “Approach - Main Features and Impacts on SMEs,” in *Industry 4.0: A Comprehensive Approach*, no. September 2021, 2019, pp. 10–14.
- [3] M. K. Nasrun, H. Susilo, and T. W. Afrianty, “Accelerating digital transformation through digital leadership: strategies for innovation, sustainability, and organisational performance enhancement,” *BISMA (Bisnis dan Manajemen)*, pp. 264–291, Apr. 2025, doi: 10.26740/bisma.v17n2.p264-291.
- [4] Risqi setiorini, Siti Aimah, and F. Mohd. Fakhruddin, “TRANSFORMATION OF PESANTREN ORGANIZATIONAL CULTURE: STRATEGIES FOR PESANTREN TO BECOME CENTERS OF INNOVATION IN THE DIGITAL ERA,” *Leadership: Jurnal Mahasiswa Manajemen Pendidikan Islam*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 1–11, Dec. 2024, doi: 10.32478/leadership.v6i1.2879.
- [5] S. Phuyal, D. Bista, and R. Bista, “Challenges, Opportunities and Future Directions of Smart Manufacturing: A State of Art Review,” *Sustainable Futures*, vol. 2, no. March, p. 100023, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.sftr.2020.100023.
- [6] N. Khilji, K. Nicolic, and Ikramur-Rehman, “The Influence of Knowledge Management on Digital Transformation: An Overview for Managing Change and Innovation,” in *Lecture Notes in Networks and Systems*, Springer Science and Business Media Deutschland GmbH, 2024, pp. 368–388. doi: 10.1007/978-3-031-53960-2\_24.
- [7] Kioomars Ashtarian, “Big-Data and Epistemic Change,” 2024, doi: 10.30471/mssh.2024.10153.2548.
- [8] D. Vuksanović, J. Ugarak, and D. Korčok, “Industry 4.0: the Future Concepts and New Visions of Factory of the Future Development,” *Sinteza*, no. October, pp. 293–298, 2016, doi: 10.15308/sinteza-2016-293-298.
- [9] W. Wang and L. Liu, “Advances in the application of human-machine collaboration in healthcare: insights from China,” 2025, *Frontiers Media SA*. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2025.1507142.
- [10] M. Nkambule, J. Jansen Van Vuuren, and L. Leenen, “Identifying Cybersecurity Elements for a Cybersecurity Framework in Higher Education,” 2025.
- [11] D. M. AL-BADAYNEH, D. D. AL-BADAYNEH, and R. K. HASHISH, “Human Factors of Cybersecurity,” *Journal of Posthumanism*, vol. 5, no. 4, Apr. 2025, doi: 10.63332/joph.v5i4.1242.
- [12] T. N. Tran, “Systematic Review of Cybersecurity in Banking: Evolution from Pre-Industry 4.0 to Post-Industry 4.0 in Artificial Intelligence, Blockchain, Policies and Practice.”
- [13] Haroon Abbu and Paul Mugge, “Digital-Transformation-CFP-FINAL,” 2021.
- [14] F. Rahman and A. Chandan, “Strategic Innovations in Industry 5.0: Overcoming the Challenges of Industry,” *Proceedings in Interdisciplinary Insights and Innovations*, 2025, doi: 10.56294/piii2025518.
- [15] R. PANDEY, “A systematic review of industry 4.0 technologies in the production and manufacturing sector,”





- Mar. 2025, pp. 197–203. doi: 10.21741/9781644903438-20.
- [16] T. Yasserli, “Computational Sociology of Humans and Machines; Conflict and Collaboration,” 2025.
- [17] T. Lin and J. Liangrokapt, “Barriers for the Implementation of Industry 4.0 in Storage Drive Manufacturing Industry,” *IET Collaborative Intelligent Manufacturing*, vol. 7, no. 1, Jan. 2025, doi: 10.1049/cim2.70026.
- [18] N. Ahmed and N. Shakoor, “Advancing agriculture through IoT, Big Data, and AI: A review of smart technologies enabling sustainability,” Mar. 01, 2025, *Elsevier B.V.* doi: 10.1016/j.atech.2025.100848.
- [19] V. Murthy, R. kumari, M. Goyal, D. Dubey, and D. Ramesh, “Edge-AI in IoT: Leveraging Cloud Computing and Big Data for Intelligent Decision-Making,” 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://www.jisem-journal.com/>
- [20] Nurudeen Yemi Hussain, Blessing Austin-Gabriel, Adebimpe Bolatito Ige, Peter Adeyemo Adepoju, and Adeoye Idowu Afolabi, “GenerativeAIadvancesfordata-driveninsightsinIoTcloudtechnologiesand big”.
- [21] C. P. Galucio, G. L. da Silva, R. M. de Matos, O. P. de Lima, S. B. Santiago, and F. O. M. Farias, “Maturity and Readiness in Industry 4.0: A Case Study in a Thermoplastic Company in the Industrial Pole of Manaus,” *Revista de Gestão Social e Ambiental*, vol. 19, no. 3, p. e011603, Mar. 2025, doi: 10.24857/rgsa.v19n3-045.
- [22] A. Guma *et al.*, “Integration of Artificial Intelligence, Blockchain, and Quantum Cryptography for Securing the Industrial Internet of Things (IIoT): Recent Advancements and Future Trends,” *Applied Data Science and Analysis*, vol. 2025, pp. 19–82, 2025, doi: 10.58496/ADSA/2025/004.
- [23] A. Guma *et al.*, “Securing the Internet of Wetland Things (IoWT) Using Machine and Deep Learning Methods: A Survey Securing the Internet of Wetland Things (IoWT) Using Machine and Deep Learning Methods: A Survey A R T I C L E I N F O,” *Article in Mesopotamian Journal of Computer Science*, 2025, doi: 10.58496/MJCSC/2025/002.
- [24] X. Lei, N. H. Zakaria, and Q. H. Hamamurad, “Factors influencing high-tech startup business model innovation in China: A systematic literature review,” *Journal of Infrastructure, Policy and Development*, vol. 8, no. 9, p. 7147, Sep. 2024, doi: 10.24294/jipd.v8i9.7147.
- [25] A. M. Priyatno and T. Widiyaningtyas, “A SYSTEMATIC LITERATURE REVIEW: RECURSIVE FEATURE ELIMINATION ALGORITHMS,” *JITK (Jurnal Ilmu Pengetahuan dan Teknologi Komputer)*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 196–207, Feb. 2024, doi: 10.33480/jitk.v9i2.5015.
- [26] R. Chbeir and S. Sassi, “Committees General Chairs Workshops, Special Tracks and Tutorials Chairs Keynotes and Industry Panel Chairs,” 2025. [Online]. Available: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/390159898>
- [27] E. Jelínková and P. T. Prochazkova, “Challenges of Industry 4.0 and Shop Floor Management,” 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/366973466>
- [28] M. Hernandez-de-Menendez, R. Morales-Menendez, C. A. Escobar, and M. McGovern, “Competencies for Industry 4.0,” *International Journal on Interactive Design and Manufacturing*,



- vol. 14, no. 4, pp. 1511–1524, Dec. 2020, doi: 10.1007/s12008-020-00716-2.
- [29] Y. Yongxu and P. Dinghong, “Transformation of Higher Vocational Classroom Teaching from the Perspective of ‘Industry 4.0,’” *International Journal of Education and Literacy Studies*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 508–512, Apr. 2025, doi: 10.7575/aiac.ijels.v.13n.2p.508.
- [30] A. Zahariev, G. Zaharieva, H. Bei, and L. Shaulska, “Industry 4.0: the transformation of management systems and influence tools,” *International Journal of Global Energy Issues*, vol. 47, no. 1/2, pp. 70–87, 2025, doi: 10.1504/IJGEI.2025.10067977.
- [31] A. Zahariev, G. Zaharieva, H. Bei, and L. Shaulska, “Industry 4.0: the transformation of management systems and influence tools,” *International Journal of Global Energy Issues*, vol. 47, no. 1/2, pp. 70–87, 2025, doi: 10.1504/IJGEI.2025.10067977.
- [32] E. Blessing, “‘Enhancing Industrial Automation through the Integration of Deep Learning and Robotics’ Authors,” 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/378522207>
- [33] E. Edward and Lord Shiva, “Data Virtualization Best Practices for Advanced Analytics in Big Data Lord Shiva. (2023). Data Virtualization Best Practices for Advanced Analytics in Big Data. Data Virtualization Best Practices for Advanced Analytics in Big Data,” 2023, doi: 10.5281/zenodo.8415810.
- [34] R. Afolabi, R. Abbas, R. Vayyala, D. F. Oyebode, V. A. Ogunsanya, and A. Adesokan, “Harnessing Big Data Analytics for Advanced Detection of Deepfakes and Cybersecurity Threats Across Industries,” *International Journal of Scientific and Management Research*, vol. 08, no. 02, pp. 84–101, 2025, doi: 10.37502/IJSMR.2025.8208.
- [35] A. John, “Advanced Threat Detection using Big Data Analytics and Machine Learning: A Comprehensive Analysis,” 2025. [Online]. Available: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/388194949>
- [36] A. Dwi Yuliana, N. Majid, A. B. Auvaq, E. S. Pertiwi, and J. Febe Immanuel, “Application of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning (ML) in Health Applications for HIV/AIDS Prevention: A Systematic Review,” *Indonesian Journal of Medicine*, vol. 10, no. 01, pp. 41–50, 2025, doi: 10.26911/theijmed.2025.10.01.04.
- [37] C. V Gilbert William S, C. Gilbert, and M. Abiola Gilbert, “Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning (ML) for Predictive Cyber Threat Intelligence (CTI),” 2025. [Online]. Available: [www.ijrpr.com](http://www.ijrpr.com)
- [38] Teasha Chakraborty and Rahit Paul, “THERAPY AND MANAGEMENT FOR DEPRESSION AND ANXIETY USING ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE (AI) AND MACHINE LEARNING (ML),” 2024, doi: 10.20959/wjpps20248-27870.
- [39] S. R. M. Zeebaree, “Building Scalable Enterprise Systems: The Intersection of Web Technology, Cloud Computing, and AI Marketing Article in Polaris Global Journal of Scholarly Research and Trends,” 2025, doi: 10.58429/pgjsrt.v4n1a214.
- [40] R. Srinivas Ramesh, “Scalable Systems and Software Architectures for High-Performance Computing on cloud platforms,” 2024.
- [41] V. Ogunsanya, A. Adesokan, and I. Eleweke, “Cybersecurity Incidents on Digital Infrastructure and Industrial Networks,” 2025. [Online]. Available:



<https://www.researchgate.net/publication/390527211>

[42] T. V. Rudakova, Ye. B. Shapovalov, T. K. Kuznetsova, and S. S. Zharinov, "OPEN SCIENTIFIC DATA: ANALYTICS OF EUROPEAN AND NATIONAL STANDARDS FOR QUALITY, INTEROPERABILITY AND SAFETY," *Scientific Notes of Junior Academy of Sciences of Ukraine*, no. 3(28), pp. 70–80, 2023, doi: 10.51707/2618-0529-2023-28-08.

[43] T. Hartmann, "Interoperability between BIM and GIS through open data standards: An overview of current literature," 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/356285071>

[44] F. Almeida, J. Oliveira, and J. Cruz, "Open Standards and Open Source: Enabling Interoperability," *International Journal of Software Engineering & Applications*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 1–11, Jan. 2010, doi: 10.5121/ijsea.2011.2101.

[45] E. U. Kalsum, R. Regawa, B. Djatisara, and S. A. Novitasari, "Analysis of Reskilling, Upskilling, and Continuous Learning Culture on Adaptability of Hospitality Employees in West Java," 2025.

[46] T. Hartmann, "Interoperability between BIM and GIS through open data standards: An overview of current literature," 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/356285071>

[47] T. Adewale, "Upskilling and Reskilling Software Developers for Cloud Computing: Bridging the Talent Gap," 2025. [Online]. Available: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/390492469>

[48] D. Saumendra, "Agile Change Management: Adapting Agile Methodologies for Organizational

Resilience," *Article in Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences Research*, 2024, doi: 10.37534/bp.jhssr.2024.v6.nS.

[49] A. A. Mohamad, "The Impact of Workforce Agile Behavior on Organizational Innovation Performance for Manufacturing Enterprises," 2024, pp. 396–404. doi: 10.1007/978-3-031-56121-4\_37.

[50] D. Saumendra, "Agile Change Management: Adapting Agile Methodologies for Organizational Resilience," *Article in Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences Research*, 2024, doi: 10.37534/bp.jhssr.2024.v6.nS.

[51] I. ANAS, "A Quad-Helix Collaboration in Anticipating the Future-Oriented Skills for Business English Professionals," *Research and Innovation in Applied Linguistics*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 1–15, Feb. 2025, doi: 10.31963/rial.v3i1.5272.

[52] M. Bratić *et al.*, "New Era of Tourism: Innovative Transformation Through Industry 4.0 and Sustainability," *Sustainability*, vol. 17, no. 9, p. 3841, Apr. 2025, doi: 10.3390/su17093841.

[53] D. Saumendra, "Agile Change Management: Adapting Agile Methodologies for Organizational Resilience," *Article in Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences Research*, 2024, doi: 10.37534/bp.jhssr.2024.v6.nS.

[54] Y. Wang, "Analysis of the Method for Financial Investments for Small and Medium-sized Companies," 2025, doi: 10.54254/2754-1169/150/2024.19310.

[55] A. Sani, S. Tinggi, I. Ekonomi, and H. Medan, "The Information Technology and Human Resources Investment on The Return on Investment Through Intellectual Capital in The Banking Company in Indonesia (IDX)," 2017. [Online]. Available:



<https://www.researchgate.net/publication/330982896>

[56] L. Duan, A. Carlino, and K. Caldeira, “Near-term benefits from investment in climate adaptation complement long-term economic returns from emissions reduction,” *Commun Earth Environ*, vol. 6, no. 1, Dec. 2025, doi: 10.1038/s43247-024-01976-6.

[57] I. V. Herceg, V. Kuč, V. M. Mijušković, and T. Herceg, “Challenges and driving forces for industry 4.0 implementation,” *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, vol. 12, no. 10, May 2020, doi: 10.3390/su12104208.

[58] S. KVAK, V. KVAK, and I. PROKOPOVYCH-PAVLIUK, “Regulatory and legal framework for the development of small and medium-sized businesses in Ukraine,” *Economics. Finances. Law*, vol. 12/2024, no., pp. 29–33, Dec. 2024, doi: 10.37634/efp.2024.12.6.

[59] M. Stojkovic and J. Butt, “Industry 4.0 Implementation Framework for the Composite Manufacturing Industry,” *Journal of Composites Science*, vol. 6, no. 9, Sep. 2022, doi: 10.3390/jcs6090258.

[60] W. König, “William Siemens: An Engineer and Industrialist in Germany and England,” in *Knowledge and Space*, vol. 18, Springer Nature, 2023, pp. 207–219. doi: 10.1007/978-3-031-24910-5\_10.

[61] S. Salim and A. Habsi, “Ethical Considerations in Obtaining Informed Consent in Research Participation,” *International Journal of Educational Contemporary Explorations*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 22–32, 2024, doi: 10.69481/DIVL1152.

[62] O. T. A. and A. I. O., “Optimal Scaling Appraisal of Maturity Status of Nigerian Polytechnic Education in Industry 4.0,” *British Journal of*

*Computer, Networking and Information Technology*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 72–83, Mar. 2024, doi: 10.52589/bjcnit-fnyf6phg.

[63] P. Tulyakul and S. Meepring, “Ethical Issues of Informed Consent: Students as Participants in Faculty Research,” *Glob J Health Sci*, vol. 12, no. 3, p. 86, Feb. 2020, doi: 10.5539/gjhs.v12n3p86.

[64] R. N. Arshad *et al.*, “Food loss and waste reduction by using Industry 4.0 technologies: examples of promising strategies,” Jan. 01, 2025, *Oxford University Press*. doi: 10.1093/ijfood/vvaf034.

[65] H.: Bitkom, B. Informationswirtschaft, D. Fraunhofer, W. Dorst, Dr.-I. S. Schlund, and A. Scheibe, “Studie Industrie 4.0 – Volkswirtschaftliches Potenzial für Deutschland.” [Online]. Available: [www.bitkom.org](http://www.bitkom.org)

[66] Klaus Schwab and Saadia Zahidi, “The Future of Jobs Report 2020 O C T O B E R 2 0 2 0,” 2020.

[67] W.-M. Roth, M. I. M. Goulart, and K. Plakitsi, “Learning, Development, and Cultural-Historical Activity Theory,” 2013, pp. 1–14. doi: 10.1007/978-94-007-5186-6\_1.

[68] D. Olufemi, D. Bobie-Ansah, A. Olutosin Ejiade, F. Ogochukwu Ikwuogu, and P. E. Olufemi, “Securing Software-Defined Networks (SDN) Against Emerging Cyber Threats in 5G and Future Networks-A Comprehensive Review,” *Article in International Journal of Engineering Research*, 2025, doi: 10.17577/IJERTV14IS020102.

[69] E. R. Da Silva, A. C. Shinohara, C. P. Nielsen, E. P. De Lima, and J. Angelis, “Operating digital manufacturing in industry 4.0: The role of advanced manufacturing technologies,” in *Procedia*



*CIRP*, Elsevier B.V., 2020, pp. 174–179.  
doi: 10.1016/j.procir.2020.04.063.

[70] Y.-J. Lee, “Activity Theory and Science Learning,” in *Encyclopedia of Science Education*, Springer Netherlands, 2014, pp. 1–7. doi: 10.1007/978-94-007-6165-0\_84-2.

[71] M. Porter, J. E. Heppelmann, and H. B. Review, “Artículo: How Smart Connected Productos Are Transforming Competition,” 2014.

[72] J. Lee, B. Bagheri, and H.-A. Kao, “A Cyber-Physical Systems architecture for Industry 4.0-based manufacturing systems,” *Manuf Lett*, vol. 3, pp. 18–23,

Jan. 2015, doi:  
10.1016/j.mfglet.2014.12.001.

[73] N. Kshetri, “Blockchain’s roles in strengthening cybersecurity and protecting privacy,” *Telecomm Policy*, vol. 41, no. 10, pp. 1027–1038, Nov. 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.telpol.2017.09.003.

[74] A. Guma *et al.*, “Integration of Artificial Intelligence, Blockchain, and Quantum Cryptography for Securing the Industrial Internet of Things (IIoT): Recent Advancements and Future Trends,” *Applied Data Science and Analysis*, vol. 2025, pp. 19–82, 2025, doi: 10.58496/ADSA/2025/004.

Derechos de Autor (c) 2025 Manuel Baro, José Manuel Villegas Izaguirre, Manuel Piña Monarrez, Cinthia Judith Valdiviezo



Este texto está protegido por una licencia [Creative Commons 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Usted es libre para compartir —copiar y redistribuir el material en cualquier medio o formato— y adaptar el documento —remezclar, transformar y crear a partir del material— para cualquier propósito, incluso para fines comerciales, siempre que cumpla la condición de:

Atribución: Usted debe dar crédito a la obra original de manera adecuada, proporcionar un enlace a la licencia, e indicar si se han realizado cambios. Puede hacerlo en cualquier forma razonable, pero no de forma tal que sugiera que tiene el apoyo del licenciante o lo recibe por el uso que hace de la obra.

[Resumen de licencia](#) - [Texto completo de la licencia](#)